## Case 3:23-cv-03440-AMO Document 34 Filed 02/09/24 Page 1 of 5

1	DAVID H. KRAMER, SBN 168452 Email: dkramer@wsgr.com MAURA L. REES, SBN 191698 Email: mrees@wsgr.com		
2			
3	WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI Professional Corporation		
4	650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050		
5	Telephone: (650) 493-9300		
6	ERIC P. TUTTLE, SBN 248440		
7	Email: eric.tuttle@wsgr.com WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI		
8	Professional Corporation 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 5100		
9	Seattle, WA 98104-7036 Telephone: (206) 883-2500		
10	Counsel for Defendant		
11	GOOGLE LLC		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
14	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
15			
16	JILL LEOVY, NICHOLAS GUILAK, ) CAROLINA BARCOS, PAUL MARTIN, )	CASE NO.: 3:23-cv-03440-AMO	
17	MARILYN COUSART, ALESSANDRO DE LA )	DEFENDANT GOOGLE LLC'S	
18	TORRE, VLADISSLAV VASSILEV, JANE  DASCALOS, and minor G.R., individually, and  on behalf of all other similarly situated  )	REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE AND CONSIDERATION OF	
19	on behalf of all other similarly situated,	MATERIALS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS AMENDED	
20	Plaintiffs, )	COMPLAINT	
21	V. )	Judge: Hon. Araceli Martínez-Olguín	
22	GOOGLE LLC,		
23	Defendant. )		
24	)		
25			
26			
27			
28			
	GOOGLE'S REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE AND CONSIDERATION	CASE No.: 3:23-CV-03440-AMO	

25

26

27

28

In considering Defendant Google LLC's ("Google") motion to dismiss, Google hereby requests that the Court take judicial notice of, and/or order incorporated by reference, the documents listed below:

- Google Privacy Policy, July 1, 2023. This document can be found at https://policies.google.com/privacy/archive/20230701?hl=en-US. This document is highlighted and attached hereto as Exhibit 1.
- Google Privacy Policy, December 15, 2022. This document can be found at https://policies.google.com/privacy/archive/20221215?hl=en-US. This document is highlighted and attached hereto as Exhibit 2.
- 3. Other versions of Google's Privacy Policy from May 25, 2018 to October 4, 2022. These documents can be found at https://policies.google.com/privacy/archive?hl=en-US. For example, the May 25, 2018 Privacy Policy can be accessed through a link at that page, and can be found directly at https://policies.google.com/privacy/archive/20180525?hl=en-US.
- 4. Google Terms of Service. This document can be found at https://policies.google.com/terms?hl=en-US. This document is highlighted and attached hereto as **Exhibit 3**.
- 5. Older versions of Google's Terms of Service. These documents can be found at https://policies.google.com/terms/archive?hl=en-US.
- Meta Privacy Policy. This document can be found at https://mbasic.facebook.com/privacy/policy/printable/. This document is highlighted and attached hereto as Exhibit 4.
- LinkedIn User Agreement. This document can be found at https://www.linkedin.com/legal/user-agreement. This document is highlighted and attached hereto as Exhibit 5.
- 8. Yahoo Terms of Service. This document can be found at https://legal.yahoo.com/us/en/yahoo/terms/otos/index.html. This document is highlighted and attached hereto as **Exhibit 6**.

CASE No.: 3:23-CV-03440-AMO

1	9. The Dropbox Privacy Policy: frequently asked questions. This document can be	
2		found at https://help.dropbox.com/security/privacy-policy-faq. This document is
3		highlighted and attached hereto as Exhibit 7.
4	10.	Reddit Privacy Policy. This document can be found at
5		https://www.reddit.com/policies/privacy-policy. This document is highlighted and
6		attached hereto as <b>Exhibit 8</b> .
7	11.	GitHub Privacy Statement. This document can be found at
8		https://docs.github.com/en/site-policy/privacy-policies/github-privacy-statement.
9		This document is highlighted and attached hereto as <b>Exhibit 9</b> .
10	12.	Spotify Privacy Policy. This document can be found at
11		https://www.spotify.com/us/legal/privacy-policy/. This document is highlighted
12		and attached hereto as Exhibit 10.
13	13.	California Senate Committee on the Judiciary, Analysis of AB 375 (June 25, 2018).
14		This document can be found at
15	https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billAnalysisClient.xhtml?bill_id=20172018	
16		AB375#. This document is attached hereto as <b>Exhibit 11</b> .
17	As set forth below, all these documents are subject to judicial notice under Fed. R. Evid.	
18	201, should properly be considered incorporated by reference in Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint,	
19	and are properly considered on Google's motion to dismiss.	
20	<u>DISCUSSION</u>	
21	"In general, websites and their contents may be judicially noticed." See Threshold Enters.	
22	Ltd. v. Pressed Juicery, Inc., 445 F. Supp. 3d 139, 146 (N.D. Cal. 2020) (collecting cases). Courts	
23	within this District routinely take judicial notice of terms of services and policies, Taiming Zhang	
24	v. Twitter Inc., 2023 WL 5493823, at *3 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 23, 2023) (collecting cases), including	
25	Google's Terms of Service and Privacy Policy, see, e.g., In re Google Assistant Privacy Litig., 457	
26	F. Supp. 3d 797, 813 (N.D. Cal. 2020); Matera v. Google Inc., 2016 WL 5339806, at *7 (N.D.	
27	Cal. Sept. 23, 2016); McCoy v. Alphabet, Inc., 2021 WL 405816, at *2 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 2, 2021).	
28	Here, Google respectfully requests that this Court take judicial notice of just such documents:	
	GOOGLE'S REQU CONSIDERATION	rest for Judicial Notice and -2- Case No.: 3:23-cv-03440-AMO

28

Google's Privacy Policy and Terms of Service, and similar documents from Meta, LinkedIn, Yahoo, Dropbox, Reddit, GitHub, and Spotify. These documents are attached hereto as Exhibits 1 to 10.

A court may also take judicial notice of legislative committee reports. See Anderson v. Holder, 673 F.3d 1089, 1094 n.1 (9th Cir. 2012). Accordingly, Google requests that the Court judicially notice Exhibit 11 hereto

Separately, under the incorporation-by-reference doctrine, "a court may consider a writing referenced in a complaint but not explicitly incorporated therein if the complaint relies on the document and its authenticity is unquestioned." Swartz v. KPMG LLP, 476 F.3d 756, 763 (9th Cir. 2007). The doctrine extends to situations where a plaintiff's claim "depends on the contents of a document," even when "the plaintiff does not explicitly allege the contents of that document in the complaint." Knievel v. ESPN, 393 F.3d 1068, 1076 (9th Cir. 2005).

Here, the Amended Complaint expressly relies on Google's Terms of Service. See ECF No. 28 ("FAC") ¶ 415 & n.296 (citing https://policies.google.com/terms); id. ¶ 444. The Plaintiffs who used Google services allege that they accepted Google's Terms of Service, forming a contract. See id. ¶¶ 607-608 ("By accessing and accepting the terms of agreement of each website they used, Plaintiffs established contractual relationships with each and every website. ... [¶] These websites include, but are not limited to, all the websites listed in this complaint and referenced in the accompanying Exhibit B."); id., Ex. B (ECF No. 28-3) at 2 (listing "com.google"); id. ¶ 17, 23, 29, 33, 39, 44, 51, 56, 61, 67, 73, 83, 85, 93-95 (all but one Plaintiff use Google services).

Plaintiffs plead that Google's Terms of Service "expressly incorporate[]" Google's Privacy Policy. FAC ¶ 444. The Amended Complaint extensively references, quotes from, and relies upon Google's Privacy Policy. See, e.g., FAC  $\P\P$ 148 n.74, 435 & n.297 https://policies.google.com/privacy); id. ¶¶ 5, 201, 246-47. In particular, the Amended Complaint presents a redline comparison between the July 1, 2023 and December 15, 2022 versions of Google's Privacy Policy and alleges that Google introduced a significant change in July 2023. FAC ¶ 201; id. ¶¶ 5, 208, 246. Thus, both the current and historical versions of Google's Privacy Policy should be incorporated by reference. Plaintiffs also cite, reference, and rely upon the terms CASE No.: 3:23-CV-03440-AMO

## Case 3:23-cv-03440-AMO Document 34 Filed 02/09/24 Page 5 of 5

1 of service and/or privacy policies of Meta, LinkedIn, Yahoo, Dropbox, Reddit, GitHub, and 2 Spotify, id. ¶¶ 158-164, 301-304, 309-311 & nn.209-215. Accordingly, Exhibits 1 to 10 should 3 be deemed incorporated into Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint by reference. 4 For these reasons, Google respectfully requests that the Court consider the above-5 referenced documents in connection with Google's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Amended 6 Complaint. 7 Respectfully submitted, 8 Dated: February 9, 2024 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI **Professional Corporation** 9 By: /s/ David H. Kramer 10 David H. Kramer dkramer@wsgr.com 11 Maura L. Rees 12 mrees@wsgr.com Eric P. Tuttle 13 eric.tuttle@wsgr.com 14 Counsel for Defendant Google LLC 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

GOOGLE'S REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE AND CONSIDERATION

-4-

CASE No.: 3:23-CV-03440-AMO